

Potential subscribers to the Money and Markets various trading alerts should take time to check their past trading history before they subscribe.

The trading history can be hard to find as it is on a different web page and not on the money and markets web site where it should be. It is also under a vague heading, it's there but not in plain sight. You will see why it's been buried away when you view the results as most of the subscriptions show massive losses. Because Weiss are under pressure regarding their performance they have now started to state **that 80% will expire worthless**. This is stated under the trading history but you will only see this if you manage to locate the history in the first place. If you were told this statistic in the advertising would you bother subscribing? Losses are mentioned in the trading manual but this can be hard to understand and if you have already swallowed their very convincing adverts which come fast and furious and put you on the hurry! Hurry! to get discounts, you probably will override this so you don't miss the gravy train. Plus, they are guaranteeing profits, so they must know something nobody else does....right... WRONG!

If anything the outlandish claims have gotten even bigger now using words like "Guarantee Profits". Using words like this is encouraging new and inexperienced subscribers to place larger trades and then suffer when Weiss get it totally wrong. Their guarantee is worthless and their response to the losses treat subscribers with contempt with statements like "at the end of the day it's all a guessing game" or "it's all a crap shot". WAIT! WAIT! WAIT! A MINUTE are tens of thousands of subscribers paying \$1,250.00 and sometimes more for their bad guesses?.....Work out the figures  $\$1250 \times 50,000$  subscribers = \$62,500,000 yes that's 62 million five hundred thousand dollars. That's why they do not need to trade themselves they just need to keep the conveyor belt of subscribers flowing and if they get an investigation into their operation they just volunteer to pay 2.2 million dollars and say sorry. This is a bit too late for all the millions of dollars subscribers have lost on their advice and their get out clause "we are just publishers" brilliant!

The links below will take you straight to all the information you may need to make an informed decision. The trading history has very recently been changed to now show only 12 months data but it used to go back years. This was probably done because they were pre-warned that this site was coming. Ask to see the results going back years, they have definitely got them.

Read the SEC case (link supplied) and think through ALL the points raised not just points regarding the "auto trading", this case report will give you an insight into the past trading history that goes back to 2001. Plus it tells you how Weiss over hyped and selectively picked their advertising to bring in new subscribers.

Subscribers were guaranteed profits on Euro puts (advert attached) and even when they were losing by 75% naïve subscribers were told to add even more puts to an already lost cause. Remember a 75% loss needs a 300% movement in the market just to get back to breakeven ...so why add more? This is a novice error and these people are telling you they are the best yet they make such basic errors like adding to a losing trade in such a volatile market with no stop loss in place. Needless to say the Euro spiked up massively and wiped out all subscribers money, this in spite of Jack Crook's guarantee.

This is just one of the many misguided alerts that left subscribers reeling from yet another hit that should have had stop loss built in from the start, but if you look at the trading history you will see that they WILL run them to 100% loss, their risk management, well you decide.

Ask yourself why would anyone want the hassle of providing a service for Joe public, if they are as good at trading as their advertising says they are, why not just trade and be done with it.

Do the basic math, subscription fee multiplied by at last count over 50,000 (Weiss's figure). Yes you now have the answer they don't need to trade in this risky market they just need to keep the subscriptions rolling in.

But lately Martin Weiss has been under a lot of pressure for not trading his own money so he has come up with his "million dollar trading account" and guess what you can subscribe too! See what he is trading and copy him.

But why has he brought in a German to run his account for him? Why do this when he has all those brilliant people who work for him giving all kinds of alerts and advice, why not use them? Is it because if he uses his own staff and they trade his account as badly as his other subscriptions he will lose money like the rest of his fair paying followers?

Again the results will only be available IF you subscribe to his "million dollar trading account". The rest of the subscription base will be unaware so it is sown up tight again. One other point you must ask, is the million dollars really being used from his own bank account?...Think by his own figures, 5,000 people logged on to his webinar to hear about his new venture, lets say only 1000 people sign up that's  $1000 \times \$1250.00 = \$1,250,000$  with the new alerts delayed till the end of the month and again hurry! Hurry! It's limited spaces and you cannot join after the start.

Think this through, the delay is to give them time for the fees to come in with \$250,000 to spare, then who cares what kind of results they achieve the money is already banked with a get out clause of "we are only publishers".

Which other industry could have this kind of setup, people putting their faith in a company that bombards them with overhyped advertising showing massive profits and not really showing the loses that can be incurred, all on the say so of THEIR poor misguided advice. Because all this is aimed at novice and new traders Weiss must keep the conveyor belt of subscribers rolling because they know when subscribers take loss after loss they will not subscribe again. The SEC report states that if subscribers followed Weiss's recommendations without deviation they would have lost money, nothing has changed on that note to date or the returns are very small not coming remotely close to the outlandish claims that brought Joe Public into this volatile market in the first place. The advertising focuses on doom and gloom with the Weiss group as the saviour of the "little man". Reality shows that Weiss can lose your money faster than any bank or stock failure. Use the links provided and the information in them to make a calculated, well informed decision as to whether Weiss as a company give you a fair well informed picture of what you are getting into.....the old adage still stands true "if it sounds too good to be true it probably is".

Martin Weiss "Saint or Sinner" you decide you have the information that other people miss or cannot find.

Just lately 3 more writers have moved on from money and markets, one of these being Larry Edelson who was mentioned in the SEC report. Also gone are Sean Brodrick and Tony Sagami. Jack Crooks went around April 2009. Why go now? Have they had enough of the flack?